

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,656	02/28/2002	Gart-Jan Heerens	P 290724 P-0241.010-US	2502
909	7590 11/05/2003		EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			MOHAMEDULLA, SALEHA R	
P.O. BOX 105 MCLEAN, V.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , ,			1756	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/084,656	HEERENS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Saleha R. Mohamedulla	1756			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Apy re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 Six (4) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute pply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	38(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become AB/ date of this communication, even if tin	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 f	<u>-ebruary 2002</u> .				
2a)[	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 🛚	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∐ approved b)⊡ di	sapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1756

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-5, 22 and 23, drawn to a method of handling a mask, classified in class
     414, subclass 788.
  - II. Claim 6-11, drawn to a mask, classified in class 430, subclass 5.
  - III. Claims 12-19, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 355, subclass 18.
  - IV. Claim 20, drawn to making a device, classified in class 430, subclass 311.
  - V. Claim 21, drawn to a device, classified in class 257, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product can be used in a materially different process, such as an exposure process.
- 3. Inventions of Group I and Group III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus can be used to practice a different process, such as an exposure process.

Art Unit: 1756

- 4. Inventions of Group I and Group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The invention of Group I is used to handle a mask, which is used to imprint patterns onto a wafer, while the invention of Group IV is a process for making a device that can be used in computers.
- 5. Inventions of Group I and Group V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects. The invention of Group I is used to handle a mask, which is used to imprint patterns onto a wafer, while the invention of Group V is a device that can be used in computers.
- 6. Inventions of Group II and Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The invention of Group II is used to imprint patterns on a wafer while the invention of Group III includes lenses and stages to align and direct energy to a mask and wafer.
- 7. Inventions of Group II and Group IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the

Art Unit: 1756

instant case, the process can be used for making a different product, such as an integrated circuit device.

- 8. Inventions of Group II and Group V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The invention of Group II is used to imprint a pattern onto a wafer, while the invention of Group V is used in electrical equipment, such as computers.
- 9. Inventions of Group IV and Group V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process can be used to make a different product, such as a mask.
- 10. Inventions of Group III and Group IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus can be used to practice a materially different process, such as a material handling process.
- 11. Inventions of Group III and Group V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the

Art Unit: 1756

instant case the different inventions have different functions. The invention of Group III includes lenses and stages to align and direct energy to a mask and wafer, while the invention of Group V is used in electrical equipment, such as computers.

- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. A telephone call was made to Mr. Robert Perez on October 17, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saleha R. Mohamedulla whose telephone number is (703) 308-1260. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 to 4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on (703) 308-2464. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1756

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Saleha R. Mohamedulla

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700

October 20, 2003

Page 6